UV Completion of Axion

Kang-Sin Choi University of Bonn

SUSY 08, Seoul, Korea June 17, 2008

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ○ □ ○ ○ ○ ○

Axion

SM Lagrangian contains theta angle for QCD.

$$\frac{\theta}{32\pi^2}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\mathrm{tr}\,F_{\mu\nu}F_{\rho\sigma} \equiv \theta F^2$$

▶ *P* and *CP* violating.

• Observable $\bar{\theta} \equiv \theta + (CP \text{ phase of quarks}).$

Unobserved neutron electric dipole moment implies

$$\bar{\theta} < 10^{-9}$$
 So small or zero?! Why?

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

Axion

SM Lagrangian contains theta angle for QCD.

$$\frac{\theta}{32\pi^2}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\mathrm{tr}\,F_{\mu\nu}F_{\rho\sigma} \equiv \theta F^2$$

▶ *P* and *CP* violating.

• Observable $\bar{\theta} \equiv \theta + (CP \text{ phase of quarks}).$

Unobserved neutron electric dipole moment implies

 $\bar{\theta} < 10^{-9}$ So small or zero?! Why?

Axion [Peccei, Quinn] [Weinberg] [Wilczek] [KSVZ] [DFSZ]

- ► $\bar{\theta}$ is a dynamical field, $\mathcal{L}_{eff} = \frac{a}{f_a}F^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{\mu}a)^2$
- ► No *CP* violation except the above: Minimum of the potential is *CP* conserving $\bar{\theta} = 0$.
- Mass ~ $13/f_a$ MeV, decay constant

 $10^{10} \text{ GeV} < f_a < 10^{12} \text{ GeV}.$



Axion as a Goldstone boson

Consider a global Abelian chiral symmetry

$$\psi_i
ightarrow e^{i\gamma_5 q^i lpha} \psi_i, \quad \phi
ightarrow e^{iq lpha} \phi,$$

being anomalous,

$$\delta \mathcal{L}_{\rm eff} = 2 {\rm tr} \, (q t^a t^a) F^2 \alpha.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ のへぐ

broken by instanton. ['t Hooft]

Axion as a Goldstone boson

Consider a global Abelian chiral symmetry

$$\psi_i
ightarrow e^{i\gamma_5 q^i lpha} \psi_i, \quad \phi
ightarrow e^{iq lpha} \phi,$$

being anomalous,

$$\delta \mathcal{L}_{\rm eff} = 2 {\rm tr} \, (q t^a t^a) F^2 \alpha.$$

broken by instanton. ['t Hooft]

If broken also by VEV of a charged scalar field $\langle \phi \rangle = v$, its phase field *a*, a pseudoscalar Goldstone, has anomalous coupling

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = a \frac{2 \text{tr} (q t^a t^a)}{q v} F^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu a)^2 + \partial_\mu a \sum q^i \overline{\psi}_i \gamma^\mu \gamma^5 \psi_i$$
$$\delta a = q v \alpha$$

Axion is a pseudoscalar Goldstone $f_a = qv$

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Many VEVs

Suppose we have identified two Abelian symms. $U(1)_P \times U(1)_Q$ from the potential.

- 1. Partly broken by $\langle \phi_1 \rangle = v_1$.
 - Unbroken U(1)' direction: under which ϕ_1 is neutral,

$$q_n^i \equiv q_Q^1 q_P^i - q_P^1 q_Q^i.$$

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQ@

► Anomalous coupling of the axion comes from 'broken U(1)'? cf. any linear combination of global U(1)s can be another good U(1).

Many VEVs

Suppose we have identified two Abelian symms. $U(1)_P \times U(1)_Q$ from the potential.

- 1. Partly broken by $\langle \phi_1 \rangle = v_1$.
 - Unbroken U(1)' direction: under which ϕ_1 is neutral,

$$q_n^i \equiv q_Q^1 q_P^i - q_P^1 q_Q^i.$$

- ► Anomalous coupling of the axion comes from 'broken U(1)'? cf. any linear combination of global U(1)s can be another good U(1).
- 2. Completely broken by $\langle \phi_1 \rangle = v_1, \langle \phi_2 \rangle = v_2$.
 - If tr q_Pl ≠ 0, tr q_Ql ≠ 0, are there two anomalous U(1)s? cf. an anomaly free linear combination exists
 - Are anomaly free U(1)s irrelevant to axions
 - What is the dominant component of the axion?

These will guide us for embedding axions to UV physics.

- ► GUT
- string theory

One U(1), many VEVs

Many fields developing VEVs $\delta a_1/v_1 = q^1 \alpha$, $\delta a_2/v_2 = q^2 \alpha$. It becomes the axion by the linear combination

$$a = \frac{1}{f_a} (a_1 q^1 v_1 + a_2 q^2 v_2),$$
$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = a \frac{\operatorname{tr} q^i l^i}{f_a} F^2, \quad \delta a = f_a \alpha$$

Decay constant

$$f_a \equiv \sqrt{(q^1 v_1)^2 + (q^2 v_2)^2}$$

One U(1) broken by many fields, larger VEV dominates.

Invariant direction:

$$\frac{1}{f_a}(a_1q^2v_2 - a_2q^1v_1)$$

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

What if we introduce more U(1)?

Partly broken

 $U(1)_P \times U(1)_Q$ broken by $\langle \phi_1 \rangle = v$,

• Unbroken U(1)' direction: under which ϕ_1 is neutral,

$$q_n^i \equiv q_Q^1 q_P^i - q_P^1 q_Q^i.$$

Axion term? 'Broken direction'??

$$\frac{\operatorname{tr}(q_P l)}{q_P^1} \neq \frac{\operatorname{tr}(q_Q l)}{q_Q^1} ?$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三回 - のへぐ

Partly broken

 $U(1)_P \times U(1)_Q$ broken by $\langle \phi_1 \rangle = v$,

• Unbroken U(1)' direction: under which ϕ_1 is neutral,

$$q_n^i \equiv q_Q^1 q_P^i - q_P^1 q_Q^i.$$

Axion term? 'Broken direction'??

$$rac{\mathrm{tr}\left(q_{P}l
ight)}{q_{P}^{1}}
eqrac{\mathrm{tr}\left(q_{Q}l
ight)}{q_{Q}^{1}} \stackrel{?}{=}$$

- Be gauged away from the residual U(1)', $e^{\operatorname{tr}(q_n l)\alpha i} = e^{-\operatorname{tr}(q_P l)i/q_P^1}$.
- ► No axion term generated.

Partly broken

 $U(1)_P \times U(1)_Q$ broken by $\langle \phi_1 \rangle = v$,

• Unbroken U(1)' direction: under which ϕ_1 is neutral,

$$q_n^i \equiv q_Q^1 q_P^i - q_P^1 q_Q^i.$$

Axion term? 'Broken direction'??

$$rac{ ext{tr}\left(q_{P}l
ight)}{q_{P}^{1}}
eqrac{ ext{tr}\left(q_{Q}l
ight)}{q_{Q}^{1}} \stackrel{?}{=}$$

- ▶ Be gauged away from the residual U(1)', $e^{\operatorname{tr}(q_n l)\alpha i} = e^{-\operatorname{tr}(q_P l)i/q_P^1}$.
- ► No axion term generated.
- If U(1)' is not anomalous,

•
$$\frac{\operatorname{tr}(q_P l)}{q_P^1} = \frac{\operatorname{tr}(q_Q l)}{q_Q^1} - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(q_n l)}{q_P^1 q_Q^1}$$

is the unique coefficient of a generated axion term.

Axion term generation depends on the charge q_1 , vacuum configuration

Completely broken

 $U(1)_P \times U(1)_Q$ completely broken by $\langle \phi_1 \rangle = v_1, \langle \phi_2 \rangle = v_2$. The variation $\delta a_1/v_1 = q_P^1 \alpha + q_Q^1 \beta, \delta a_2/v_2 = q_P^2 \alpha + q_Q^2 \beta$ must reproduce the anomaly $2(\operatorname{tr} q_P l \alpha + \operatorname{tr} q_Q l \beta) F^2$.

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm eff} = \left(a_1 \frac{c_1}{v_1} + a_2 \frac{c_2}{v_2}\right) F^2,$$

$$\begin{split} c_1 &= \mathrm{tr}\,[(q_P^i q_Q^2 - q_Q^i q_P^2) l^i] / (q_P^1 q_Q^2 - q_Q^1 q_P^2), \\ c_2 &= \mathrm{tr}\,[(q_P^1 q_Q^i - q_Q^1 q_P^i) l^i] / (q_P^1 q_Q^2 - q_Q^1 q_P^2). \end{split}$$

Axion term depends on $\{q_P^i, q_Q^i, v_i\}$: vacuum configuration

Completely broken

 $U(1)_P \times U(1)_Q$ completely broken by $\langle \phi_1 \rangle = v_1, \langle \phi_2 \rangle = v_2$. The variation $\delta a_1/v_1 = q_P^1 \alpha + q_Q^1 \beta, \delta a_2/v_2 = q_P^2 \alpha + q_Q^2 \beta$ must reproduce the anomaly $2(\operatorname{tr} q_P l \alpha + \operatorname{tr} q_Q l \beta) F^2$.

$$\mathcal{L}_{ ext{eff}} = \left(-a_1 rac{q_f^2}{v_1} + a_2 rac{q_f^1}{v_2}
ight) rac{1}{q_P^1 q_Q^2 - q_Q^1 q_P^2} F^2.$$

Axion term depends on q_f^1, q_f^2, v_1, v_2 : vacuum configuration

Completely broken

 $U(1)_P \times U(1)_Q$ completely broken by $\langle \phi_1 \rangle = v_1, \langle \phi_2 \rangle = v_2$. The variation $\delta a_1/v_1 = q_P^1 \alpha + q_Q^1 \beta, \delta a_2/v_2 = q_P^2 \alpha + q_Q^2 \beta$ must reproduce the anomaly $2(\operatorname{tr} q_P l \alpha + \operatorname{tr} q_Q l \beta) F^2$.

$$\mathcal{L}_{ ext{eff}} = \left(-a_1 rac{q_f^2}{v_1} + a_2 rac{q_f^1}{v_2}
ight) rac{1}{q_P^1 q_Q^2 - q_Q^1 q_P^2} F^2.$$

Axion term depends on q_f^1, q_f^2, v_1, v_2 : vacuum configuration

Interpretation: we always have anomaly free direction

$$q_f^i = q_P^i \operatorname{tr} \left(q_Q l \right) - q_Q^i \operatorname{tr} \left(q_P l \right).$$

The axion is the unique, orthonormal combination to Goldstone boson $\propto q_f^1 v_1 a_1 + q_f^2 v_2 a_2$. The decay constant is

$$M = \left((c_1/v_1)^2 + (c_2/v_2)^2 \right)^{-1/2} < \min(v_1/c_1, v_2/c_2),$$

More U(1) broken, smaller VEV dominates

Dominant component

r U(1)s broken by n scalar field VEVs:

- ▶ r 1 anomaly free symmetries, and as many Goldstone bosons
- n r invariant combinations
- 1 axion combination:

the unique orthonormal combination to the others.

We can show

Decay constant is dominated by (n - r + 1)th largest VEV.

Hirarchy is possible.

▶ Higher energy scale physics, low scale axion decay constant.

 $10^9 \text{ GeV} < M < 10^{12} \text{ GeV},$

• The original PQ: Two Higgs model $v_1^2 + v_2^2 = 246$ GeV.

Light pseudoscalars

Light pseudoscalars

- axion $\propto -a_1 \frac{q_f^2}{v_1} + a_2 \frac{q_f^1}{v_2}$ couples to F^2 ,
- Goldstone $\propto q_f^1 v_1 a_1 + q_f^2 v_2 a_2$,

are orthonormal combinations.

No light pseudoscalar has been observed. The Goldstone boson must be either

- 1. eaten by gauge boson of anomaly free symmetry, e.g. from GUT,
- 2. couple to another hidden sector gauge field,
- 3. 'universality.'

'Anomalous' U(1)

Another source of axion 'anomalous' $U(1)_a$ [Atick, Dixon, Sen] [Dine, Seiberg, Witten] [Dine, Ichinose, Seiberg]

• Antisymmetric tensor: dual to axion $\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\partial_{\rho}B_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\sigma}a_0$.

$$K = -M_{Pl}^2 \ln(S + \bar{S} - \frac{1}{6} \operatorname{tr} q_a V), \quad S = 1/g^2 + ia_0/8\pi f_a$$

- ▶ tr $q_a \neq 0$, generating nontrivial Fayet–Illiopoulos term.
- Universality $\frac{1}{12}$ tr $q_a = \frac{1}{2}$ tr $_{G_a}q_a l = \frac{1}{3}$ tr $q_a^3 = \dots$
- Anomaly from gauge U(1) is canceled by transformation

$$S \rightarrow S + i \operatorname{tr} q_a \theta$$
.

▶ Natural in string theories with Green–Schwarz mechanism.

 $f_a \sim M_{Pl}/100$

'Anomalous' U(1)

Another source of axion 'anomalous' $U(1)_a$ [Atick, Dixon, Sen] [Dine, Seiberg, Witten] [Dine, Ichinose, Seiberg]

• Antisymmetric tensor: dual to axion $\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\partial_{\rho}B_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\sigma}a_0$.

$$K = -M_{Pl}^2 \ln(S + \bar{S} - \frac{1}{6} \operatorname{tr} q_a V), \quad S = 1/g^2 + ia_0/8\pi f_a$$

- ▶ tr $q_a \neq 0$, generating nontrivial Fayet–Illiopoulos term.
- Universality $\frac{1}{12}$ tr $q_a = \frac{1}{2}$ tr $_{G_a}q_a l = \frac{1}{3}$ tr $q_a^3 = \dots$
- Anomaly from gauge U(1) is canceled by transformation

$$S \rightarrow S + i \operatorname{tr} q_a \theta$$
.

▶ Natural in string theories with Green–Schwarz mechanism.

 $f_a \sim M_{Pl}/100$

If this $U(1)_a$ is again broken by VEV $\langle \phi \rangle = v$, again its phase contributes a_1 ,

$$\left(\frac{a_0}{f_a} + \frac{a_1}{qv}\right)F^2$$

whose decay constant is

$$M = (f_a^{-2} + (qv)^{-2})^{-1/2} < \min(f_a, qv)$$

May obtain smaller axion coupling?

D-term constraint

Because of SUSY, D-term constraint

$$D_a = q_a^1 |\langle \phi_1 \rangle|^2 + \xi_{\rm FI} = 0, \quad \xi_{\rm FI} = \frac{g M_{Pl}^2}{192\pi^2} {
m tr} \, q_a$$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

The VEV must be of the same order as $\sqrt{\xi_{\text{FI}}} \sim M_{Pl}/100$. Introducing more VEV does not improve it. The largest dominates.

D-term constraint

Because of SUSY, D-term constraint

$$D_a = q_a^1 |\langle \phi_1 \rangle|^2 + \xi_{\rm FI} = 0, \quad \xi_{\rm FI} = \frac{g M_{Pl}^2}{192\pi^2} {
m tr} \, q_a$$

The VEV must be of the same order as $\sqrt{\xi_{\text{FI}}} \sim M_{Pl}/100$. Introducing more VEV does not improve it. The largest dominates.

If we have more global U(1) broken, we can have the axion coupling

$$M = (f_a^{-2} + M_1^{-2} + M_2^{-2})^{-1/2}, \quad M_i \propto q_i v_i$$

and

$$D_a=q_a^1|\langle \phi_1
angle|^2+q_a^2|\langle \phi_2
angle|^2+\xi_{
m FI}=0.$$

The smallest dominant, we can have much smaller VEV, evading D-term constraint.

Axion from heterotic orbifold

Heterotic string compactification on orbifolds.

- Large symmetries predicted by heterotic string: gauge group, SUSY...
- Break them by associating symmetries of orbifolds.
- ▶ Promising route to MSSM: gauge group, chiral fermions... axion.
- Axion from anomalous U(1) natural.

Other symmetries?

- String theory predicts no global symmetry.
- ► Global symmetry broken by quantum gravity. [Krauss, Wilczek]

Accidental symmetries at a given orders of perturbation. [Lazarides, Panagiotakopoulos, Shafi]

Superpotential at up to order \sim 7-10 we have a number of accidental anomalous U(1)s. [KSC, IW Kim, JE Kim], [KSC, Nilles, Ramos-Sanchez, Vaudrevange]

We can have smaller ($\ll M_{Pl}$) axion decay constat.

Conclusions

We have analyzed axions from multiple anomalous global U(1)s.

- ► Axion depends on charges and VEVs: vacuum configuration.
- ▶ r U(1)s broken by *n* fields: (n r + 1)th largest VEV dominates.

- ► Axion is the unique orthonormal component of anomaly free combinations of U(1)s.
- Guide the embeddability to GUT or string

Conclusions

We have analyzed axions from multiple anomalous global U(1)s.

- ► Axion depends on charges and VEVs: vacuum configuration.
- ▶ r U(1)s broken by *n* fields: (n r + 1)th largest VEV dominates.
- ► Axion is the unique orthonormal component of anomaly free combinations of U(1)s.
- Guide the embeddability to GUT or string

String theory

- contains 'anomalous' $U(1)_a$.
- ▶ plus accidental U(1)s at some given order of superpotential.
- We can obtain lower axion decay constant than the string scale.

• Evade *D*-term constraint to have lower scale axion.