

2008/06/19 SUSY08 (Seoul)

Lattice Formulation of 2D SQCD with exact supersymmetry

Fumihiko Sugino

(Okayama Institute for Quantum Physics (OIQP))

To appear in arXiv

1 Introduction

◇ **Lattice Formulation** : Standard method for nonperturbative study of QFT

[Wilson]

Fewer relevant operators to be fine-tuned in the continuum limit

→ Practically easier to construct the continuum theory

⇒ Desirable to possess more symmetries at the level of lattice theory

◇ **Extension to SUSY Theories**

A part of supercharges can be preserved on the lattice

2D Wess-Zumino model [Sakai-Sakamoto, Kikukawa-Nakayama, Catterall]

pure SYM models [Kaplan et al] ← orbifolding, [F.S., Catterall] ← TFT approach

SYM + matter fields [Endre-Kaplan, Matsuura] ← orbifolding, [F.S.] This Talk ← TFT approach

Here, we construct a lattice theory for

2D $\mathcal{N} = (2, 2)$ SQCD (**SYM + (anti-)fundamental matters**)

with $G = U(N)$ (or $SU(N)$)

compact gauge fields

general matter superpotential,

keeping one of the supercharge Q .

2 SYM part of the Lattice Action

4D $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SYM \Rightarrow (dim. red.) \Rightarrow 2D $\mathcal{N} = (2, 2)$ SYM

A_μ ($\mu = 1, 2$)

A_3, A_4

$A_\mu \Rightarrow U_\mu(x)$ (link variables on the lattice)

$\phi(x), \bar{\phi}(x)$ (site variables)

Fermions : 4-component Majorana spinor

$\Psi(x) = (\psi_1(x), \psi_2(x), \chi(x), \frac{1}{2}\eta(x))^T$ (site variables)

Q-SUSY on the lattice

For admissible gauge fields ($\|1 - U_{12}(x)\| < \epsilon$)

$$QU_\mu(x) = i\psi_\mu(x)U_\mu(x)$$

$$Q\psi_\mu(x) = i\psi_\mu(x)\psi_\mu(x) + iD_\mu\phi(x)$$

$$Q\phi(x) = 0$$

$$Q\bar{\phi}(x) = \eta(x), \quad Q\eta(x) = [\phi(x), \bar{\phi}(x)]$$

$$Q\chi(x) = iD(x) + \frac{i}{2}\widehat{\Phi}(x), \quad QD(x) = -\frac{1}{2}Q\widehat{\Phi}(x) - i[\phi(x), \chi(x)], \quad (2.1)$$

where $D_\mu\phi(x) = U_\mu(x)\phi(x + \hat{\mu})U_\mu(x)^{-1} - \phi(x)$ (covariant difference),

$$\widehat{\Phi}(x) = \frac{-i(U_{12}(x) - U_{21}(x))}{1 - \frac{1}{\epsilon^2}\|1 - U_{12}(x)\|^2} \sim 2F_{12}$$

Note

The admissibility and the denominator smoothly remove the degenerated vacua $U_{12}(\mathbf{x})^2 = 1, U_{12}(\mathbf{x}) \neq 1$. [Lüscher],

(Take the traceless part of the numerator for $G = SU(N)$ case)

$\Rightarrow Q^2 =$ (infinitesimal gauge tr. with the parameter $\phi(\mathbf{x})$)

Lattice Action: Q -exact form \Rightarrow Exact Q -SUSY

For admissible gauge fields ($\|1 - U_{12}(\mathbf{x})\| < \epsilon$ for $\forall \mathbf{x}$),

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\text{SYM}}^{(\text{lat})} &= Q \frac{1}{g^2} \sum_{\mathbf{x}} \text{tr} \left[\chi(\mathbf{x}) \left\{ -\frac{i}{2} \widehat{\Phi}(\mathbf{x}) + iD(\mathbf{x}) \right\} + \frac{1}{4} \eta(\mathbf{x}) [\phi(\mathbf{x}), \bar{\phi}(\mathbf{x})] - i \sum_{\mu} \psi_{\mu}(\mathbf{x}) D_{\mu} \bar{\phi}(\mathbf{x}) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{g^2} \sum_{\mathbf{x}} \text{tr} \left[\frac{1}{4} \widehat{\Phi}(\mathbf{x})^2 + \sum_{\mu} D_{\mu} \phi(\mathbf{x}) D_{\mu} \bar{\phi}(\mathbf{x}) + i \chi(\mathbf{x}) Q \widehat{\Phi}(\mathbf{x}) + i \sum_{\mu} \psi_{\mu}(\mathbf{x}) D_{\mu} \eta(\mathbf{x}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{4} [\phi(\mathbf{x}), \bar{\phi}(\mathbf{x})]^2 - \chi(\mathbf{x}) [\phi(\mathbf{x}), \chi(\mathbf{x})] - \frac{1}{4} \eta(\mathbf{x}) [\phi(\mathbf{x}), \eta(\mathbf{x})] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{\mu} \psi_{\mu}(\mathbf{x}) \psi_{\mu}(\mathbf{x}) \left(\bar{\phi}(\mathbf{x}) + U_{\mu}(\mathbf{x}) \bar{\phi}(\mathbf{x} + \hat{\mu}) U_{\mu}(\mathbf{x})^{-1} \right) - D(\mathbf{x})^2 \right], \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

For other cases, $S_{\text{SYM}}^{(\text{lat})} = +\infty$. (i.e. The Boltzmann weight is zero.)

Note

Gauge field configurations are **smoothly** cut into the admissible ones.

c.f. $f(t) = \begin{cases} e^{-c/t} & t \geq 0 \\ 0 & t < 0 \end{cases}$ with $c > 0$ is smooth and infinitely differentiable w.r.t. $t \in \mathbb{R}$

\Rightarrow **Q -SUSY is preserved.**

The Q -SUSY forbids the mass term $\phi\bar{\phi}$ appearing in the radiative correction.

\Rightarrow The continuum theory can be constructed without any fine-tuning.

(Checked in the lattice perturbation. Computer simulation will give the nonperturbative check.)

3 Matter part of the Lattice Action

Φ_{+I} : Dim. Red. of 4D $\mathcal{N} = 1$ chiral superfield (**fundamental repre.**)

(**Flavors: $I = 1, \dots, n_+$**)

Φ_{-I} : Dim. Red. of 4D $\mathcal{N} = 1$ chiral superfield (**anti-fundamental repre.**)

(**Flavors: $I = 1, \dots, n_-$**)

The continuum theory is

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mat}} = \left[\sum_{I=1}^{n_+} \Phi_{+I}^\dagger e^{V - \tilde{V}_{+I}} \Phi_{+I} + \sum_{I=1}^{n_-} \Phi_{-I} e^{-V + \tilde{V}_{-I}} \Phi_{-I}^\dagger \right] \Big|_{\theta\theta\bar{\theta}\bar{\theta}} \quad (3.1)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{pot}} = W(\Phi_+, \Phi_-)|_{\theta\theta} + \bar{W}(\Phi_+^\dagger, \Phi_-^\dagger)|_{\bar{\theta}\bar{\theta}} \quad (3.2)$$

where $\tilde{V}_{\pm I} \equiv 2\theta_R\bar{\theta}_L\tilde{m}_{\pm I} + 2\theta_L\bar{\theta}_R\tilde{m}_{\pm I}^*$: twisted masses.

Note

Two kinds of fermion mass can be introduced.

- Complex mass terms ($\subset W, \bar{W}$):

$$m_I (\psi_{-IL}\psi_{+IR} - \psi_{-IR}\psi_{+IL}) + m_I^* (\bar{\psi}_{+IR}\bar{\psi}_{-IL} - \bar{\psi}_{+IL}\bar{\psi}_{-IR})$$

- Twisted mass terms ($\not\subset W, \bar{W}$):

$$\tilde{m}_{+I}\bar{\psi}_{+IL}\psi_{+IR} + \tilde{m}_{+I}^*\bar{\psi}_{+IR}\psi_{+IL} + \tilde{m}_{-I}\psi_{-IR}\bar{\psi}_{-IL} + \tilde{m}_{-I}^*\psi_{-IL}\bar{\psi}_{-IR}$$

◇ Flavor symmetry of \mathcal{L}_{mat} : $U(n_+) \times U(n_-)$ ($\tilde{m}_{\pm I} = \tilde{m}_{\pm I}^* = 0$ case)

$\Rightarrow U(1)^{n_+} \times U(1)^{n_-}$ (generic $\widetilde{m}_{\pm I}, \widetilde{m}_{\pm I}^*$ case)

◇ Let us consider the latticization, introducing the forward (backward) covariant differences $D_\mu(D_\mu^*)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
D_\mu \Phi_{+I}(x) &\equiv U_\mu(x) \Phi_{+I}(x + \hat{\mu}) - \Phi_{+I}(x) \\
D_\mu^* \Phi_{+I}(x) &\equiv \Phi_{+I}(x) - U_\mu(x - \hat{\mu})^{-1} \Phi_{+I}(x - \hat{\mu}) \\
D_\mu \Phi_{-I}(x) &\equiv \Phi_{-I}(x + \hat{\mu}) U_\mu(x)^{-1} - \Phi_{-I}(x) \\
D_\mu^* \Phi_{-I}(x) &\equiv \Phi_{-I}(x) - \Phi_{-I}(x - \hat{\mu}) U_\mu(x - \hat{\mu}) \\
&\vdots
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Q-SUSY on the lattice [Consider the case $n_+ = n_- \equiv n$]

$$\begin{aligned}
Q\phi_{+I}(x) &= -\psi_{+IL}(x), & Q\psi_{+IL}(x) &= -(\phi(x) - \widetilde{m}_{+I})\phi_{+I}(x) \\
Q\phi_{+I}^\dagger(x) &= -\bar{\psi}_{+IR}(x), & Q\bar{\psi}_{+IR}(x) &= \phi_{+I}(x)^\dagger(\phi(x) - \widetilde{m}_{+I}) \\
Q\psi_{+IR}(x) &= \left\{ \frac{1}{2}(D_1 + D_1^*) + i\frac{1}{2}(D_2 + D_2^*) \right\} \phi_{+I}(x) + F_{+I}(x) \\
&\quad + \sum_\mu^r \frac{1}{2}(D_\mu - D_\mu^*)\phi_{-I}(x)^\dagger \quad \leftarrow \text{Wilson term } (r > 0) \\
Q\bar{\psi}_{+IL}(x) &= \left\{ \frac{1}{2}(D_1 + D_1^*) - i\frac{1}{2}(D_2 + D_2^*) \right\} \phi_{+I}(x)^\dagger + F_{+I}(x)^\dagger
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{\mu}^r \frac{1}{2} (D_{\mu} - D_{\mu}^*) \phi_{-I}(x) \\
QF_{+I}(x) &= (\phi(x) - \bar{m}_{+I}) \psi_{+IR}(x) + \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (D_1 + D_1^*) + i \frac{1}{2} (D_2 + D_2^*) \right\} \psi_{+IL}(x) \\
& + \sum_{\mu}^r \frac{1}{2} (D_{\mu} - D_{\mu}^*) \bar{\psi}_{-IR}(x) \\
& - i \frac{1}{2} \left(\psi_1(x) U_1(x) \phi_{+I}(x + \hat{1}) + U_1(x - \hat{1})^{-1} \psi_1(x - \hat{1}) \phi_{+I}(x - \hat{1}) \right) \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \left(\psi_2(x) U_2(x) \phi_{+I}(x + \hat{2}) + U_2(x - \hat{2})^{-1} \psi_2(x - \hat{2}) \phi_{+I}(x - \hat{2}) \right) \\
& - i \sum_{\mu}^r \frac{1}{2} \left(\psi_{\mu}(x) U_{\mu}(x) \phi_{-I}(x + \hat{\mu})^{\dagger} - U_{\mu}(x - \hat{\mu})^{-1} \psi_{\mu}(x - \hat{\mu}) \phi_{-I}(x - \hat{\mu})^{\dagger} \right), \\
QF_{+I}(x)^{\dagger} &= -\bar{\psi}_{+IL}(x) (\phi(x) - \bar{m}_{+I}) + \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (D_1 + D_1^*) - i \frac{1}{2} (D_2 + D_2^*) \right\} \bar{\psi}_{+IR}(x) \\
& + \sum_{\mu}^r \frac{1}{2} (D_{\mu} - D_{\mu}^*) \psi_{-IL}(x) \\
& + i \frac{1}{2} \left(\phi_{+I}(x + \hat{1})^{\dagger} U_1(x)^{-1} \psi_1(x) + \phi_{+I}(x - \hat{1})^{\dagger} \psi_1(x - \hat{1}) U_1(x - \hat{1}) \right) \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \left(\phi_{+I}(x + \hat{2})^{\dagger} U_2(x)^{-1} \psi_2(x) + \phi_{+I}(x - \hat{2})^{\dagger} \psi_2(x - \hat{2}) U_2(x - \hat{2}) \right) \\
& + i \sum_{\mu}^r \frac{1}{2} \left(\phi_{-I}(x + \hat{\mu}) U_{\mu}(x)^{-1} \psi_{\mu}(x) - \phi_{-I}(x - \hat{\mu}) \psi_{\mu}(x - \hat{\mu}) U_{\mu}(x - \hat{\mu}) \right), \\
& \vdots
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

$$\Rightarrow Q^2 = (\text{infinitesimal gauge tr. with the parameter } \phi(x)) \\ + (\text{infinitesimal flavor rotation with the parameter } \tilde{m}_{\pm I}) \quad (3.5)$$

$$\delta\Phi_{+I} = -\tilde{m}_{+I}\Phi_{+I}, \quad \delta\Phi_{+I}^\dagger = \tilde{m}_{+I}\Phi_{+I}^\dagger \\ \delta\Phi_{-I} = \tilde{m}_{-I}\Phi_{-I}, \quad \delta\Phi_{-I}^\dagger = -\tilde{m}_{-I}\Phi_{-I}^\dagger$$

Lattice Action: Q -exact form

$$\mathcal{S}_{\text{mat}}^{(\text{lat})} = \sum_{I=1}^n \left[\mathcal{S}_{\text{mat},+I}^{(\text{lat})} + \mathcal{S}_{\text{mat},-I}^{(\text{lat})} \right]$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{\text{mat},+I}^{(\text{lat})} = Q \sum_x \left[\frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi}_{+IL}(x) \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{2} (D_1 + D_1^*) + i \frac{1}{2} (D_2 + D_2^*) \right) \phi_{+I}(x) - F_{+I}(x) \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + \sum_{\mu}^r \frac{1}{2} (D_{\mu} - D_{\mu}^*) \phi_{-I}(x)^\dagger \right\} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{2} (D_1 + D_1^*) - i \frac{1}{2} (D_2 + D_2^*) \right) \phi_{+I}(x)^\dagger - F_{+I}(x)^\dagger \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + \sum_{\mu}^r \frac{1}{2} (D_{\mu} - D_{\mu}^*) \phi_{-I}(x) \right\} \psi_{+IR}(x) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi}_{+IR}(x) (\bar{\phi}(x) - \tilde{m}_{+I}^*) \phi_{+I}(x) - \frac{1}{2} \phi_{+I}(x)^\dagger (\bar{\phi}(x) - \tilde{m}_{+I}^*) \psi_{+IL}(x) \right. \\ \left. + i \phi_{+I}(x)^\dagger \chi(x) \phi_{+I}(x) \right], \quad (3.6)$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{\text{mat},-I}^{(\text{lat})} = Q \sum_x \left[\frac{1}{2} \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{2} (D_1 + D_1^*) + i \frac{1}{2} (D_2 + D_2^*) \right) \phi_{-I}(x) - F_{-I}(x) \right. \right.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{\mu} \frac{r}{2} (D_{\mu} - D_{\mu}^*) \phi_{+I}(x)^{\dagger} \} \bar{\psi}_{-IL}(x) \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \psi_{-IR}(x) \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{2} (D_1 + D_1^*) - i \frac{1}{2} (D_2 + D_2^*) \right) \phi_{-I}(x)^{\dagger} - F_{-I}(x)^{\dagger} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \sum_{\mu} \frac{r}{2} (D_{\mu} - D_{\mu}^*) \phi_{+I}(x) \right\} \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \psi_{-IL}(x) (\bar{\phi}(x) - \bar{m}_{-I}^*) \phi_{-I}(x)^{\dagger} - \frac{1}{2} \phi_{-I}(x) (\bar{\phi}(x) - \bar{m}_{-I}^*) \bar{\psi}_{-IR}(x) \\
& \quad - i \phi_{-I}(x) \chi(x) \phi_{-I}(x)^{\dagger} \Big], \tag{3.7}
\end{aligned}$$

Superpotential terms: (i : gauge group index)

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{\text{pot}}^{(\text{lat})} = Q \sum_x \sum_I \sum_{i=1}^N \left[- \frac{\partial \mathcal{W}}{\partial \phi_{+Ii}(x)} \psi_{+IRi}(x) - \frac{\partial \mathcal{W}}{\partial \phi_{-Ii}(x)} \bar{\psi}_{-IRi}(x) \right. \\
\left. - \bar{\psi}_{+ILi}(x) \frac{\partial \bar{\mathcal{W}}}{\partial \phi_{+Ii}^*(x)} - \psi_{-ILi}(x) \frac{\partial \bar{\mathcal{W}}}{\partial \phi_{-Ii}^*(x)} \right] \tag{3.8}
\end{aligned}$$

Note

Due to the Wilson term, the flavor symmetry of $S_{\text{mat}}^{(\text{lat})}$ is down to $U(1)^n$ (diagonal subgroup of $U(1)^n \times U(1)^n$).

\Rightarrow The lattice action is Q -SUSY invariant only when $\tilde{m}_{+I} = \tilde{m}_{-I} (\equiv \tilde{m}_I)$
(We can consider the setting of $\tilde{m}_{+I}^* \neq \tilde{m}_{-I}^*$!)

$\diamond U(1)_A$ -symmetry with the charges:

$$\begin{aligned} +2 &: \phi \\ +1 &: \psi_\mu, \quad \psi_{\pm IL}, \quad \bar{\psi}_{\pm IR} \\ -1 &: \chi, \quad \eta, \quad \psi_{\pm IR}, \quad \bar{\psi}_{\pm IL} \\ -2 &: \bar{\phi}, \\ 0 &: \text{the others} \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

is realized in the lattice action when all the twisted masses are zero.

In particular, the Wilson terms are consistent with the $U(1)_A$ -symmetry.

Note

$U(1)_A$ is not anomalous when $n_+ = n_-$.

$U(1)_A$ -WT identity:

$$\partial_\mu \langle j_\mu^{U(1)A}(x) \rangle = 2 \left\langle \sum_{I=1}^n (\mathcal{M}_{+I}(x) + \mathcal{M}_{-I}(x)) \right\rangle, \quad (3.10)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_{+I}(x) = & \widetilde{m}_I \left(\phi_{+I}(x)^\dagger \bar{\phi}(x) \phi_{+I}(x) + \bar{\psi}_{+IL}(x) \psi_{+IR}(x) \right) \\ & - \widetilde{m}_{+I}^* \left(\phi_{+I}(x)^\dagger \phi(x) \phi_{+I}(x) + \bar{\psi}_{+IR}(x) \psi_{+IL}(x) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_{-I}(x) = & \widetilde{m}_I \left(\phi_{-I}(x) \bar{\phi}(x) \phi_{-I}(x)^\dagger + \psi_{-IR}(x) \bar{\psi}_{-IL}(x) \right) \\ & - \widetilde{m}_{-I}^* \left(\phi_{-I}(x) \phi(x) \phi_{-I}(x)^\dagger + \psi_{-IL}(x) \bar{\psi}_{-IR}(x) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

We can investigate the general case of $n_+ \neq n_-$, if the fields $\Phi_{+I}, \bar{\Phi}_{+I}$ ($I = n_+ + 1, \dots, n$) and $\Phi_{-I'}, \bar{\Phi}_{-I'}$ ($I' = n_- + 1, \dots, n$) are decoupled by sending

$$\widetilde{m}_{+I}^* \rightarrow \infty \quad (I = n_+ + 1, \dots, n), \quad \widetilde{m}_{-I'}^* \rightarrow \infty \quad (I' = n_- + 1, \dots, n).$$

Regarding $U(1)_A$ -anomaly, we can check that the decoupling is achieved in the lattice perturbation, and the anomalous WT-identity for n_+ fundamentals and n_-

anti-fundamentals is correctly obtained :

$$\partial_\mu \langle j_\mu^{U(1)A}(\mathbf{x}) \rangle = -\frac{1}{\pi} (n_+ - n_-) \text{tr } F_{12}(\mathbf{x}) + 2 \left\langle \sum_{I=1}^{n_+} \mathcal{M}_{+I}(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{I=1}^{n_-} \mathcal{M}_{-I}(\mathbf{x}) \right\rangle. \quad (3.13)$$

The anomaly arises from

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{I=1}^n \text{tr } F_{12}(\mathbf{x}) (ra)^2 \int_{-\pi/a}^{\pi/a} \frac{d^2 q}{(2\pi)^2} \hat{q}^2 \\ & \times \left(\hat{q}^2 \cos(aq_1) \cos(aq_2) - 2\bar{q}_1 \cos(aq_1) - 2\bar{q}_2^2 \cos(aq_2) \right) \left(\Delta_{+I}(q)^2 - \Delta_{-I}(q)^2 \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

where the lattice spacing a is introduced,

and $\bar{q}_\mu = \frac{1}{a} \sin(aq_\mu)$, $\hat{q}_\mu = \frac{2}{a} \sin(aq_\mu/2)$,

$$\Delta_{\pm I}(q) = \frac{1}{\bar{q}^2 + \left(\frac{ra}{2} \hat{q}^2\right)^2 + \tilde{m}_I \tilde{m}_{\pm I}^*}. \quad (3.15)$$

Note

The decoupling is not so trivial, because the holomorphic parts \tilde{m}_I are kept finite.

It is interesting to clarify the cases that the decoupling holds.

4 Outlook

◇ We have presented a lattice formulation of 2D $\mathcal{N} = (2, 2)$ SQCD with exactly keeping Q -SUSY.

- Gauge Group $G = U(N)$ (or $SU(N)$), Compact link variables $U_\mu(x)$
- In order to resolve the doubling of the matters, the lattice action is constructed in the case of the same number of the fundamental matters and anti-fundamental matters ($n_+ = n_- (= n)$).
- Regarding the $U(1)_A$ -anomaly, the case $n_+ \neq n_-$ is achieved by decoupling in the lattice perturbation.
 $\Rightarrow n_+ \neq n_-$ case from the beginning?, Ginsparg-Wilson?
- In the $G = U(N)$ case, the FI-term and the ϑ -term for the overall $U(1)$ can be introduced:
 $S_{\text{FI}}^{(\text{lat})} = Q\kappa \sum_x \text{tr} \chi(x)$
(Gauge field configurations of nontrivial topology can be taken into account by the admissibility condition.)

◇ Applications

- Computer simulation for SUSY breaking (c.f. [Kanamori's Talk])
- Lattice formulation of gauged linear sigma-models (\supset SQCD models)
⇒ Numerical analysis of phases of Calabi-Yau nonlinear sigma models

[Witten, Hanany-Hori, Hori-Tong]